

A CISO's Guide to Bolstering Cyber Defenses

Sean Atkinson, CISO Center for Internet Security

www.cisecurity.org



Contents

	Introduction	1			
Chapter1	Approaches to Cybersecurity	2			
	Breaking the Divide Between Governance and Operational Cybersecurity	2			
	The Fox and the Hedgehog: Strategic Cybersecurity Response Planning	3			
Chapter 2	Risk	5			
	The Risk Conversation	5			
	Where Risks Meet Controls	6			
	The One Equation You Need to Calculate Risk-Reduction ROI	7			
	Fault Trees and Risk Forests	9			
	Creating Event Trees to Help Measure Control Effectiveness	11			
Chapter 3	Data Privacy and Protection				
	GDPR and Data Privacy	14			
	Using CIS Control 13 to Create a Data Protection Plan	16			
Chapter 4	Strengthening Cyber Defenses	18			
	How Security Controls Can Help Improve Your Cyber Defenses	18			
	Compliance in Multifaceted Environments	19			
	Cloud Compliance: How to Stay Secure on an Intangible Infrastructure	20			
	Discovering Security Gaps with Vulnerability Management Controls	22			
	Implementing Secure Configurations with CIS Build Kits	24			
	Tips to Keep Your Employees Interested in Cybersecurity Awareness Training	25			
	Additional Information	26			
	Resources	26			
	About the Author	26			
	About CIS	27			



Introduction

The CISO blog launched on the CIS website in January 2018. Since then, it has provided guidance on a number of cybersecurity topics with a special focus on the importance and implementation of the CIS Controls[®]—a collaboratively developed, prioritized set of actions to protect your organization and data from known cyber-attack vectors.

This eBook is a compilation of some of those blog posts in one place for easy reference. It begins with an introduction to cybersecurity approaches and then moves into identifying and calculating risk. Risk and data protection go hand-in-hand, making up the third section of the book. Finally, we conclude with some cybersecurity implementation strategies.

The book is organized so that you can easily jump to the section that most interests you. Some of the original posts have been edited for content and length. If you find this book valuable, you may wish to read the original posts and future CISO blog posts at www.cisecurity.org.

1



Chapter1

Approaches to Cybersecurity

Breaking the Divide Between Governance and Operational Cybersecurity

Governance in cybersecurity

Governance describes the policies and processes that determine how organizations detect, prevent, and respond to cyber incidents. Many organizations have a division between governance and management. Those who work in governance tend to emphasize strategic planning, whereas operational management deals with the day-to-day operationalized approach to security. Sometimes this results in different leadership perspectives.

Making the organizational move from a divided hierarchy to one in which strategy informs operation (and operation informs strategy) is a difficult challenge. Communication is key to effectively managing expectations, messaging, and security posture throughout the process.

Governance Strategic planning

Operational Management Day-to-day approach

Detect, prioritize, and control

Operational controls—the real-life response to a cybersecurity incident—should be the focus of any security program. Managing these controls and reporting to a governance structure may not require the knowledge of operationalization.

Instead, it may rely on an agreed-upon level of risk management involving both governance and operational leadership.

Operational controls managers should measure their security posture against a framework or baseline such as the CIS Controls or NIST Cyber Security Framework. Understanding your organization's compliance levels is key to finding weaknesses in the organizational controls, as well as the prioritization of investment for strengthening controls.

With clearer reporting and analysis of risk reduction, we can bridge the gap between governance and operational security, leading to better strategic decision making and a more unified approach to the cyber threat landscape.



The Fox and the Hedgehog: Strategic Cybersecurity Response Planning

Risk managers can use security controls to implement processes to limit the vulnerabilities, risks, and threats that abound in the physical and cyber space. Let's define the strategic plan of the implementation of such a systematic approach.

The fox and the hedgehog

A singular vision of the end goal must be in place for any plan of action to be effective. A plan of control and measurement should define risk mitigation and provide evidence that security controls are in place.

In the security world, there are two popular approaches: the fox and the hedgehog. Where a "hedgehog" approach tends to take a singular view of security, the "fox" will review security situations from multiple perspectives. The strategic planning work of Isaiah Berlin, for example, follows the hedgehog style.

In order to develop policy ideas into a singular vision, try implementing a document framework. I prefer to utilize a three-tiered framework based on:

- Policy
- Standard
- Procedure

Start with a singular "control" and a single document that details the information security policy which defines that security control. Next, document the details of how to implement that control. Ensure you consider multiple cybersecurity approaches and

concepts such as access control and data protection for a multi-layered, defense-indepth methodology. By taking a single idea and approaching it from multiple views, the "fox" style comes back into play.

Breaking down the tenets of cybersecurity

Many organizations implement multiple security standards and controls. The CIS Controls, for example, provide 20 security best practices. Each best practice has its own connotations for implementing and measuring compliance to a specific task.

Implement controls by breaking the standards down further into a procedure. In most cases, each security procedure you plan should have a singular implementation strategy and control. Role-based access control (RBAC) is



one popular and effective way to implement controls, ensuring that only authorized individuals can access control systems. RBAC is based on the user's role within the organization to implement specific security controls.



Hedgehog, fox, or both?

It is interesting to note that one must play both roles—hedgehog and fox—at particular points throughout cybersecurity assessments and audits. The hedgehog approach comes into play when working with a singular vision and "the one important thing" (a particular security control). However, the multitude of ways to implement a particular control requires a multi-disciplined fox approach. Put simply, to achieve the singular you must know and understand multiple concepts. Both approaches are required to build a strong cyber defense.



Chapter 2

Risk

The Risk Conversation

Our day-to-day business activities often don't involve a specific focus on information security and making good decisions based on risk and controls. The spectrum of risk management duties often falls through the hierarchy based on a top-down process. As this happens, the roles and responsibilities that make up risk management may slip through the cracks. It is here that we must identify the stakeholders of risk management. We must also consider those within business processes who can make a big difference between a foiled attack and a catastrophic security incident.

Risk and the organizational culture

Risk elicitation (or risk gathering) at only the senior level of an organization is a common mistake. A better solution is to implement a collaborative intake process to identify risks throughout all levels of the organization. Without this view, it's likely that some risks may not be uncovered until a security assessment or penetration test identifies them—or worse, a breach occurs.

Regularly poll internal stakeholders for their opinions about risk or use scenariobased discussions to identify risk. The CIS Controls can also be used to discover gaps in security that could be articulated as risks. Start a conversation with those responsible to implement those controls technically, operationally, and/or physically.

Getting to the scenario response

Intake of risk analysis can take many forms, from simply asking:

- How is our network at risk?
- What is the biggest risk you see to the network?
- How would this particular risk occur?
- Can we stop a malware outbreak and what is our response time?
- If we were to download a malicious file, what is our mean time to detection, response, and eradication?

The aim here is to ask questions that require a scenario response. This leads to a deeper dive into an answer rather than just "yes" or "no." Fault tree review, discussed later, is a technique using a starting scenario and an engaged audience to lead to uncovering and discovering risks across business processes, technical functions, and operational controls.

The process of risk management can be intimidating at first. By asking a few questions, you can begin to develop a baseline and understand the threats facing your organization.

View the CIS Cybersecurity Threats page



Where Risks Meet Controls

Using the CIS Controls to define and identify risk

The CIS Controls are a set of prioritized actions to protect your organization and data from known cyber-attack vectors. They are developed and maintained by a global community of cybersecurity experts.

Aligning an organization's internal security controls to a consensus-based collection of cyber-risk mitigation strategies like the CIS Controls can help improve cyber defenses. The integration of a risk management program with the CIS Controls can define how a company identifies risk and how it can be treated.

Treatment strategies come in the form of remediation steps to lower exposure to risk from vulnerabilities and threats to computer systems and business processes.

How the CIS Controls can help

CIS Controls Version 7.1, released in 2019, contains a total of 20 Controls and 171 Sub-Controls. To help organizations prioritize their implementation of these security best practices, the CIS Controls are further split into three Implementation Groups (IGs). The Implementation Groups help organizations with limited cybersecurity resources plan and prioritize. However, the implementation of each CIS Control will vary by organization. To define the need for a Control, a risk that needs to be treated must be present. Identification of these risks may go undetected by many organizations; the CIS Controls can provide a helpful starting point of evaluation.

Implementation Group			1	2	3
Definitions	Implementation Group 1 An organization with limited resources and cybersecurity expertise available to implement Sub-Controls	CIS Sub-Controls for small, commercial off-the-shelf, or home office software environments where sensitivity of the data is low will typically fall under IG1. Remember, any IG1 steps should also be followed by organizations in IG2 and IG3.	•		
1 2 3	Implementation Group 2 An organization with moderate resources and cybersecurity expertise to implement Sub- Controls	CIS Sub-Controls focused on helping security teams manage sensitive client or company information fall under IG2. IG2 steps should also be followed by organizations in IG3.	•	•	
	Implementation Group 3 A mature organization with significant resources and cybersecurity experience to allocate to Sub-Controls	CIS Sub-Controls that reduce the impact of zero-day attacks and targeted attacks from sophisticated adversaries typically fall into IG3. IG1 and IG2 organizations may be unable to implement all IG3 Sub-Controls.		•	



The One Equation You Need to Calculate Risk-Reduction ROI

Evaluating internal systems and services is a key component to understanding your organization's security maturity. One methodology is measuring your risk against the CIS Controls to determine the strength and weaknesses of risk treatment. Put simply, once you understand your risks, you'll have a better idea of what it will take to proactively address them.

Inevitably there will be gaps—not just in your security processes and implementations, but also in the measurement of control effectiveness. These gaps should be identified and managed as action items to improve the overall security defense of your organization. The determining factor for many organizations is where to focus effort. Start by asking, "What will have the greatest effect on reducing risk?"

Calculating risk-reduction ROI

With any security decision, implementing new solutions and controls will likely require a monetary expense. This is where you'll benefit from the ability to determine the cost of a potential risk versus the cost of the control. Here's one way to calculate return on investment (ROI) to account for the cost of risk vs the cost of control:



Risk-Reduction ROI	ROI = (reduction in risk \$ – cost of control) cost of control						
	Reduction in risk	=	annualized rate of occurrence	×	expected monetary loss for a single event	×	reduction in probability of risk occurrence with the implemented control
	ROI example						
	1 11 1 1 1 1	n attar	ks as an example.	. Say yo	our organization	expect	s to get phished
Example: Phiching Attacks	5 times per year, employees to spo security ROI wou	at an e ot and ild look	estimated cost of avoid phishing er < like:	\$35,000 mails is	0 per successful a expected to be S	attack. ⁻ \$25,000	The cost to train . Here's what the
Example: Phishing Attacks	5 times per year, employees to spo security ROI wou Annualized rate of Expected monetan	at an e ot and ild look occurre	estimated cost of avoid phishing er c like: nce	\$35,000 mails is	0 per successful a expected to be S	attack. ⁻ \$25,000	The cost to train Here's what the 5 per year \$35.000
Example: Phishing Attacks	Let's use phishing 5 times per year, employees to spo security ROI wou Annualized rate of Expected monetary Reduction in proba	at an e ot and ild look occurre y loss fo	estimated cost of avoid phishing er c like: nce r a single event f risk occurrence wit	\$35,000 mails is	0 per successful a expected to be S emented control	attack. ⁻ \$25,000	The cost to train Here's what the 5 per year \$35,000 -85%
Example: Phishing Attacks	Let's use phishing5 times per year,employees to sposecurity ROI wouAnnualized rate ofExpected monetaryReduction in probaCost of control	at an e ot and Id look occurre y loss fo	estimated cost of avoid phishing er c like: nce r a single event f risk occurrence wit	\$35,000 mails is	0 per successful a expected to be S emented control	attack. ⁻	The cost to train . Here's what the 5 per year \$35,000 -85% \$25,000
Example: Phishing Attacks Calculating Risk-Reduction	Let's use phishing 5 times per year, employees to sponse to sponse to sponse to security ROI would Annualized rate of Expected monetary Reduction in probation Cost of control Reduction	at an e ot and Id look occurre y loss fo bility of	estimated cost of avoid phishing er c like: once or a single event f risk occurrence wit	\$35,00 nails is th imple 5 ×	0 per successful a expected to be 5 emented control \$35,000 × 0.85 = \$	attack. ⁻ \$25,000	The cost to train Here's what the 5 per year \$35,000 -85% \$25,000
Example: Phishing Attacks Calculating Risk-Reduction ROI	Let's use phishing 5 times per year, employees to sponsor security ROI would Annualized rate of Expected monetary Reduction in probation Cost of control Reduction Reduction Reduction	at an e ot and Id look occurre y loss fo ibility of	estimated cost of avoid phishing er c like: nce r a single event f risk occurrence wit :	\$35,00 nails is th imple 5 × <u>(\$</u>	0 per successful a expected to be \$ emented control \$35,000 × 0.85 = \$ 148,750 - \$25,000 \$25,000	attack \$25,000 \$148,750) = 4.95	The cost to train Here's what the 5 per year \$35,000 -85% \$25,000

In this example, it makes monetary sense to invest the \$25,000 in training to help reduce the risk of a successful phishing attack. Remember that each organization is different, and determining these variables will be based on circumstance and risk tolerance of the organization. As with any application of the CIS Controls, the cost to implement will depend on the estimation of risk reduction and other local factors.

Setting priorities

Looking into multiple cybersecurity solutions for the same risk? To compare mitigation strategies, run each one through the risk-reduction ROI formula above and determine which is best at reducing your risk surface. You can also use this formula to determine which risks are the most cost-effective to address and which will help prioritize your defense strategy.



Of course, any strategy must also be calibrated against the business' operational and organizational goals, with respect to the risk of greatest importance or control deemed most crucial for cybersecurity. Nevertheless, this equation will prove useful in helping your organization review the cost of solutions per technical control.

Fault Trees and Risk Forests

Risk management and the elicitation of scenarios

Gather the appropriate stakeholders to start a risk-focused discussion. Be sure to focus on forecasted expectations and what should occur in your organization if those expectations fail to meet the forecast.

Use the following concepts to build a risk elicitation questionnaire, interview, or peer review:

- **Risk triggers.** Questions to review the underlying assumptions of currentlyheld beliefs.
- Scenarios based on the triggers. Diagrammatic examples that will require weighted responses to a risk scenario.

Building a fault tree

Use the responses from the questionnaire to begin to build a fault tree to see where risks to the organization are greatest and how you might respond. Start building the fault tree using a specific high-level event, then determine the responses to risk using weighted examples.

The example in the diagram shows potential responses to malicious hacking. This scenario is used to determine whether the data within the organization is the most likely to be compromised or if the systems would be the most likely target.





Given this analysis we can then look at the corresponding events that would lead the organization to the main outcome of malicious hacking. To build the tree, entertain multiple scenarios of how the top-level event could occur. In this tree we have restricted the number of potential leading events for brevity.

Responding to risk

After you've built out your fault tree it's time to consider how your organization will respond to potential risk. If the data stolen is personally identifiable information (PII) such as phone numbers and home addresses, what is the duty of care to inform those whose data was stolen? If the data was intellectual property (IP), what is its value to the organization and how much was taken? These questions will put you on the journey to incident response and implementing protective controls for data loss prevention as mitigation strategies for risk.

As you move from outcomes to causes in the diagram, your organization can:

- Evaluate existing controls and risk management processes
- Determine the organization's current risk posture
- Strategize about the future implementation of controls based on the likelihood and probability of compromise in the event of a data breach or technical failure

To greater improve your cyber defenses, consider developing multiple control scenarios for each event. You may want to follow existing best practices like the CIS Controls or frameworks such as NIST CSF.



Creating Event Trees to Help Measure Control Effectiveness

The transformation of a fault tree

Part of determining the best strategy for a set of controls involves employing techniques to evaluate the risk-reduction ROI as well as a review of measuring the effectiveness of the control. The next step is to transform the fault tree into an event tree. The event tree will help you create mitigation strategies for those faults you wish to control. It's a helpful strategy for improving your defenses.

Building an event tree

To start our example, we will use the left side of the event tree in the following diagram:



In this example, data from the organization has been compromised due to malicious hacking. There are specific CIS Controls which can help prevent such a scenario from taking place and remediate the risk of a data compromise.

Measuring probability

Because no security control is 100% effective, each organization will need to establish a baseline for the probability of failure. This measurement will have to be gauged over time in order to provide the correct probabilities.

EVENT	IDENTIFIED EXFILTRATION	TOTAL TESTS	% PROBABILITY (P)
Event triggered	128	160	0.80
Caught exfiltration	157	160	0.98
Auto rejection	144	160	0.90

Here is an example set of data protection probability figures:

11

EVENT	DOMAIN	RESULT
Data exfiltration	NA	P = 1.00
Incident not triggered	Fail	P=0.2
Incident triggered	Success	P = 1 - 0.2 = 0.8
DLP doesn't catch exfil	Fail	P=0.02 (1-0.98)
DLP catch exfil	Success	P=0.98
Auto rejection fails	Fail	P=0.10 (1-0.90)
Auto rejection works	Success	P=0.90





By mapping out the total probabilities, we can see that the organization's total success probability during the 3-step event is 71% compared to a total failure probability of 29%. From a risk perspective, the company needs to decide, "Are we implementing a strong enough set of security controls across a particular platform to successfully implement the CIS Control 13 level of data protection?"

Each company's requirements are different, but these types of evaluations will allow for a more stringent review of risk.

Risk and response

The aim of these exercises is to contemplate your organization's risk and response activities. Allow for the review of multiple probabilities to understand risk mitigation strategies and their effective ROI.



Chapter 3

Data Privacy and Protection

Threats and regulations shape cyber trends

Response planning and data privacy came to the forefront of cybersecurity around 2018, with attacks such as Spectre and Meltdown. The year also ushered in new regulations concerning data protection including the EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). These activities opened up fresh opportunities to review and advance our incident response planning and data management processes. Sadly, many organizations are still lagging behind when it comes to response planning and data privacy.

Understanding data flow

As we progress and complete the impact analysis and measure of risk for our organization, we need to understand the flow of data.

There are areas around data handling which every organization will need to review and fine-tune. Some questions to start your investigation:

- What data do we have?
- How do we use it?
- Where is it stored and processed?

The answers to these questions will form a reference point for your organization to gain a controlled foothold over its data and information management processes.

Your specific industry dictates the type of data you have (payment information, customer data, health records, etc.). The type will produce different answers. Still, the questions need to be asked, understood, and documented in a data protection plan (DPP). If you don't have a DPP in place, now's the time to start developing one.

Getting ready for the future

No matter what the future holds, you can improve your cyber defenses by implementing critical patches immediately and ensuring systems are up-to-date. Developing a DPP is another great step to take. A DPP can help with:

- Day-to-day management of information
- Preparing your organization for any future breach or incident which may occur
- Ensuring data within an organization is properly defined, labeled, and controlled
- Mitigating against ransomware attacks by limiting an attacker's access to sensitive data

Webinar: Where Privacy Meets Security



GDPR and Data Privacy

With privacy in mind, let's examine the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

The requirements under the GDPR have provided a new compliance path for many organizations around the globe. This path has multiple steps in order to conform to the regulatory requirement. Let's take a look at how organizations can take the first few steps towards GDPR compliance.

Who's managing and accessing your data?

Think about the data your organization manages and how it is processed. Whether data management is an internal function or outsourced, if you are making decisions about how you collect data and how it is processed within your organization, you are a data controller.

The data controller is a specific role in GDPR. However, if you process requests for such actions (data processing or management) from a customer or data provider then it is more likely you are in the data processor role. No matter which roles apply to your organization, if your company handles EU citizens' personal data, GDPR compliance is still required.

What data is now considered "personal"?

Personally identifiable information (PII) consists of typical data elements plus some other items that you may not have considered:

Personal Data

- Basic identity information such as name, address, and ID numbers
- Web data "online identifiers" such as location, IP address, cookie data, and RFID

Special Personal Data

- Health and genetic data
- Biometric data
- Racial or ethnic data
- Political opinions
- Sexual orientation

What is the intent of these privacy controls?

The purpose of GDPR is to institute specific controls in regards to how personal data is treated. The main focus is to create a set of standard operating procedures pertaining to how personal data is managed within organizations.



Examples of such requirements are:

- Mandatory breach notifications. Requires a fast response or organizations could face large fines.
- The "right to be forgotten." Necessitating the "ability to be found."
- Consumer profiling restrictions. Personal data should not be used without consent, a specific approach to opt-in, and the ability to opt-out of consent for organizations to utilize personal data.
- Be accountable for your data. Conduct a privacy impact assessment to determine what type of personal data is handled, processed, and stored, and for what purpose.
- Access to personal data. Right to access; data should be controlled based on a "need to know" basis.
- Right to rectification. Right to update personal data and correct inaccuracies.
- Privacy by design. New functions and elements of future processing capability or
 personal data management must utilize this as a non-functional requirement in the
 conceptualization of the business process.

What should organizations do?

Several steps are required and each organization should review the GDPR to ensure specific requirements are met. Here are some key steps that can help you achieve GDPR compliance:

- **Conduct a privacy impact assessment.** This assessment will show you what data the organization owns which is personal data or special personal data.
- Examine data flows. Know where your data is, who has access to it, and for how long you keep it.
- Conduct a risk assessment. Based on the privacy impact assessment and the data flow review, you'll emerge with a clearer picture of what risks the organization is accepting.
- Implement privacy by design. With GDPR, privacy must now be a consideration in change management, implementation of new systems, or business processes that handle PII.
- Implement security controls and measures. Employee training and security controls can help protect data.
- Working with third parties. If you engage data processors or controllers as part of your business, make sure to require GDPR compliance. If a failure in the third-party processes reveals PII to those who do not have a need to know, your organization will also be held liable.
- Hire a Data Protection Officer (DPO). Hire or assign the responsibility of a DPO; this role can encompass the responsibility of GDPR requirements.



How can CIS help?

CIS has numerous resources which can help your organization work towards GDPR compliance.

CIS SecureSuite® Membership: Includes access to CIS-CAT® Pro Assessor configuration assessment tool, CIS-CAT Pro Dashboard web application with enhanced reporting features, CIS Build Kits for rapid implementation of secure CIS Benchmark configurations, and more.

CIS Hardened Images®: Bringing the security of the CIS Benchmarks[™] to cloud computing environments on AWS Marketplace, Microsoft Azure Marketplace, Google Cloud Platform, and Oracle Cloud Marketplace.

Download our white paper: Are you GDPR ready?

CIS Controls: Prioritized best practices and policy guidance to help organizations defend against the vast majority of cyber threats.

Using CIS Control 13 to Create a Data Protection Plan

The CIS Controls Implementation Groups (IGs) take a horizontal look at cybersecurity tasks based on an organization's available resources and maturity level. For CIS Control 13, the IGs identify elements comprising a solid Data Protection Plan (DPP) for any size organization.

Elements of a DPP

- Objective. Specific to organizational security policies or regulatory controls such as GDPR/NIST.
- Roles and responsibilities. Addresses key roles in the organization and the data protection responsibilities of each.
- Data protection risks. Identifies potential security risks as related to sensitive data.
- Acceptable use policies. Applies to different classes of data within an organization.
- Data storage requirements. Must consider how to manage the storage size of data (including backups!).
- Data utilization. Addresses how data is used within the organization.
- Data integrity and assurance. Examines how to securely store and transfer data.

How CIS Control 13 can help

Leveraging the CIS Controls IGs can help organizations with varying technical resources and capabilities build a DPP. The IGs provide a prioritized approach that starts with security essentials and expands into more advanced techniques. For example, an organization with very limited resources would start with three of the Sub-Controls from CIS Control 13:

- Inventorying sensitive information (13.1): You have to know what data is sensitive in your organization in order to determine which security controls need to be in place.
- Removing sensitive systems and data which aren't regularly accessed (13.2): Make sure your assets are properly segmented and disconnected when not in use. This will limit the chance that sensitive data is compromised in case of an attack.
- Encrypting data for mobile devices (13.6): As part of your organization's mobile device policy, use approved whole disk encryption software.

CIS Control 13:	IKOL	IMPLE	VENTATION	GROUPS
Data Protection		1	2	3
	13.1 Maintain an Inventory of Sensitive Information	•	•	•
	13.2 Remove Sensitive Data or Systems Not Regularly Accessed b	oy Organization	•	•
	13.3 Monitor and Block Unauthorized Network Traffic			•
	13.4 Only Allow Access to Authorized Cloud Storage or Email Pro	viders	•	•
	13.5 Monitor and Detect Any Unauthorized Use of Encryption			•
	13.6 Encrypt Mobile Device Data	•	•	•
	13.7 Manage USB Devices		•	•
	13.8 Manage System's External Removable Media's Read/Write	Configurations		•
Search and filter	13.9 Encrypt Data on USB Storage Devices			•
CIS Controls				

Implementation Groups

More mature organizations should strive to implement additional CIS Sub-Controls as part of a growing DPP. See the chart below for more details.

17



Chapter 4

Strengthening Cyber Defenses

How Security Controls Can Help Improve Your Cyber Defenses

Security is a journey, not a destination. It is important to understand that as security and IT introduce critical configurations and security controls, management will be required over time. A single audit of a configuration in the deployment of a new system is an important check in the beginning phase. It's equally important to confirm over time that your initial deployment configurations are still accurate and compliant.

Measuring compliance

CIS offers two helpful resources that organizations can use to improve their cyber defense program. **CIS Benchmarks** are secure configuration guidelines and CIS- CAT is a configuration assessment tool. These tools align control with functionality and security with compliance.

The first step for any organization is to establish a baseline of security. This will be the secure image for any system deployed within an IT environment.

There can be hundreds of different configuration checks necessary to secure a particular operating system, server, or mobile device—this is where the free CIS Benchmarks recommendations can be extremely helpful. These recommendations are developed through hours of discussion and debate through our global community of volunteers via CIS WorkBench.

Are you a cloud-enabled enterprise? Check out **CIS Hardened Images** for a secure baseline. They're available on AWS Marketplace, Microsoft Azure Marketplace, Google Cloud Platform, and Oracle Cloud Marketplace.

Once you've established a secure baseline for your image, it's time to see how it stacks up to the CIS Benchmarks. **CIS-CAT® Lite**, our free tool, and **CIS-CAT Pro**, available through CIS SecureSuite Membership, both allow users to measure their compliance to the CIS Benchmark recommendations.

Register for the next CIS-CAT Pro webinar



Continuous monitoring

Once you've confirmed compliance to a baseline, there are two continuous monitoring items to consider:

- 1 "Regular cadence" monitoring. This involves rechecking the systems to confirm their deployed compliant status is still in effect. How often this monitoring takes place could be based on criticality of the system, the size of data centers, or other factors. For example, critical systems may require weekly or monthly reviews while a large data operation may only require annual monitoring.
- 2 Change management. This comes into play when a configuration is needed (such as the installation of particular applications or software) that is not aligned to the secure baseline. In these cases, the required change should be documented as part of a change management process. Be sure to document the impact of any configuration change on your system by running another compliance scan after the change has been implemented.

Paying attention to the process

If we maintain a process of control, compliance, and monitoring, it will allow for the creation of a complete asset management process, a configuration profile for deployed systems, and a managed process for incorporating changes into the system. Each part of this process will increase overall cyber hygiene and provide the impetus for maturing an information security program. Tools like CIS-CAT Pro can help organizations along the path to security and compliance.

Learn more about CIS SecureSuite Membership

Compliance in Multifaceted Environments

It has become a common practice to combine management of different technologies into a single process and expect that actions taken will occur in the same manner and time frame as when those processes were separate.

Compliance-with conditions!

At CIS we align best practices to processes and actions as a requirement. For IT teams, it is crucial to understand your hardware and software environments in order to maintain asset inventory and know what systems you have. Patching, updates, and remediating issues with configurations are all items that are specifically prescribed by CIS as basic elements of establishing and, more importantly, maintaining security control.

Issues may arise when the expectation is that management of Microsoft Windows and *nix environments (such as Unix and Linux) are the same: "Just update and make sure we are compliant." If only it were that simple! In most cases, these processes (updating, vulnerability scanning, configuration management, etc.) should be specifically managed with respect to the underlying technologies.



Specific controls and compliance requirements are set against each type of infrastructure. Therefore, automation and management of checks across the infrastructure is recommended. This will allow for a strategized solution to different pathways of patch management and to defining those systems where there are levels of criticality for securing infrastructure.

Critical patch management

In some cases, such as industrial control systems, the technologies involved are so critical that patch management cycles are built around a specific downtime. This leads to a conglomeration of patches all to be installed at a single point in time. Our warning when dealing with such critical systems is twofold:

- Test those patches in another environment for operational effectiveness
- Have a "back out" strategy should things go awry

It is not often that you will find a test environment that is an exact mirror of production, which means that testing can only go so far. For this reason, we suggest that technical teams always have a strategy to implement stability and reapply a particular patch or update once the correct implementation strategy has been identified.

Cloud Compliance: How to Stay Secure on an Intangible Infrastructure

"If it is not my device, how do I control it?"

Security in the cloud can be a contentious topic. It has been challenging for users to define a set of criteria for cloud computing security and then be able to attest to its compliance. Over the past five years, cloud computing has become a strategic plan of action for many organizations, combining on-premises infrastructure with a virtual cloud network.

The appeal of cloud computing is hard to deny as a value proposition. It provides scalable infrastructure, on-demand responsiveness, and (based on the cloud provider) a multitude of services that augment the IT landscape. A key point to consider is that security is just as important in the cloud as it is on-prem.

Any strong IT security program will require that the following main areas are covered:

- Governance and policy
- Asset management
- Access control
- System development and maintenance
- Incident response
- Business continuity



No matter the cloud provider, you'll need to confirm that controls are in place addressing the topics above. Each of these topics can be addressed to ensure controls and a measurable level of compliance. With a relatively simple approach to each, you can work with cloud providers and maintain a level of compliant and auditable control over your virtual network.

Compliance in the cloud

Let's examine each of the security topics in more detail and find a way to ensure security is top-of-mind in cloud computing environments.

Governance and policy. As a standard, leading cloud providers maintain compliance and security controls as part of their infrastructure. In some cases, this means the users employ a risk strategy—that is, the user undertakes a certain amount of risk by transferring the security requirements to the cloud provider(s). Check the cloud services agreement for details and don't be afraid to ask about security processes and policies.

It's worth noting that the roles and responsibilities for maintaining security will depend upon the platform, infrastructure, and software-as-a-service model selected by the user. This will influence the level of ownership and security responsibility for both the cloud provider and customer.

Asset management. In order to successfully manage your assets, you'll want a record of what systems are deployed as well as any security level which may be defined for those systems. Some tips:

- Manage the addition of new instances through a change control process
- Assign ownership of assets
- Monitor any cloud account(s) through the provider's management console and with your own organization's accounts payable

Access control. As with any system, role-based security is paramount. Nothing changes with a cloud implementation in this case; you'll want to audit, review, and control access based on a user's "need to know" and RBAC.

System development and maintenance. Start this process by applying secure configuration standards like the CIS Benchmarks to any cloud-based environments. CIS Hardened Images are pre-configured virtual machine images for a variety of platforms and technologies. Using such pre-configured secure images saves time over manually hardening a virtual machine. CIS Hardened Images allow for the deployment of already compliant systems for a variety business purposes. For those developing software in the cloud, CIS Hardened Images provide convenient security from the start. Once secure configurations are in place, maintenance to prevent "configuration drift" is the next step; regularly compare cloud configurations to the secure baseline as part of your control framework.

Incident response. Communication is key when there is an incident in the cloud. Be sure to understand what role the user and cloud provider play in a security incident, as well as what the cloud provider can supply in terms of data. This response strategy may be utilized for testing the incident response process and ensuring both organizations know how the cloud provider's supplied data will be utilized.



The response strategy should be approved and documented within your organization's incident response plan.

Business continuity. Consider what will happen if one or more of the systems upon which your organization relies fails. One of the many benefits of using cloud infrastructure is the ability to shift data quickly depending on your needs—should a natural disaster strike a main office, cloud-based services will run unaffected.

However, you'll want to consider your cloud provider's resiliency and disaster recovery strategy. What are their guarantees and limitations regarding *up time*? Based on this response, porting data to another cloud provider may be part of your organization's business continuity strategy.

Don't do it alone

Working in the cloud often provides organizations flexibility and convenience to scale their resources as needed. It also means working with others—such as cloud providers and IT staff—to ensure security measures are being implemented on the virtual network. Be sure to look into helpful resources like the CIS Hardened Images to help your organization stay secure in the cloud, and don't be afraid to ask questions about your cloud provider's security processes and procedures. With security in mind, the cloud can be a helpful extension of your organization's IT infrastructure.

···· Explore CIS Hardened Images

Discovering Security Gaps with Vulnerability Management Controls

Asking the question, "Where are my gaps and have I been tested?"

The process of managing an infrastructure and its security posture will require an approach that focuses on what hardware and software exist within your environment and ensures that it is authorized. CIS Control 1 and CIS Control 2 focus on creating and maintaining an inventory of approved hardware and software. You'll want to revisit those recommendations if you're just starting a security program.

Patching

It is at this point that we want to make sure that the approved and authorized infrastructure (including desktop computers, printers, routers/switches, and mobile devices) is secure. Over time software and firmware versions become outdated and require patching as new vulnerabilities are identified. "Patching" simply means applying updates to software or firmware, typically to remediate security flaws.

Patching is a cyclical process and must be done consistently. If it's not, the organization's exposure factor increases along with the risk of potential exploitation.

How CIS Control 3 can help manage vulnerabilities

To manage application vulnerability risks, organizations (regardless of size or maturity level) should implement CIS Control 3: Continuous Vulnerability Management. CIS Controls Implementation Group 1 (IG 1) describes a strong starting point for organizations with limited resources for cybersecurity:

- Deploying automated software tools for patch (update) management can help limit vulnerabilities
- Ensure your automated patch management tools cover both OS and software applications, so you're always up-to-date

Organizations with more developed cyber defense programs in IGs 2 and 3 should strive for additional vulnerability management activities, such as: running automated scanning tools (3.1), comparing back-to-back scans (3.5), and implementing a risk-rating process (3.7). See which CIS Sub-Controls apply to each IG in the chart below.

CIS Control 3:	rrol	LK OL		IMPLEMENTATION GROUPS			
Continuous Vulnerability	SUB- CON	CONTROL TITLE	1	2	3		
Management	3.1	Run Automated Vulnerability Scanning Tools		•	•		
	3.2	Perform Authenticated Vulnerability Scanning		•	•		
	3.3	Protect Dedicated Assessment Accounts		•	•		
	3.4	Deploy Automated Operating System Patch Management Tools	•	•	•		
	3.5	Deploy Automated Software Patch Management Tools	•	•	•		
	3.6	Compare Back-to-Back Vulnerability Scans		•	•		
	3.7	Utilize a Risk-Rating Process		•	•		

Is this all I have to do to be secure?

Search and filter CIS Controls Implementation Groups

Unfortunately, there is no silver bullet for cybersecurity. CIS Control 3 helps organizations define, enumerate, and remediate known vulnerabilities. Each of the CIS Sub-Controls will require time to implement and focused attention in order to have a greater chance of thwarting exposure, exploit, and compromise of your systems.



Implementing Secure Configurations with CIS Build Kits

Resources like the CIS Benchmarks and CIS-CAT Pro help organizations around the world start secure and stay secure. The CIS-CAT Pro Assessor tool scans against a target system's configuration settings and reports the system's compliance to the corresponding CIS Benchmark. While it's great to know where your systems stand, manually implementing the recommendations can be a daunting task. Another method for implementing the configuration guidelines recommended in the CIS Benchmarks is via CIS Build Kits, which help users automate the process.

Based on the internationally-recognized and community-developed CIS Benchmarks, a Build Kit takes those Benchmark recommendations and puts them into Microsoft Windows Group Policy Objects (GPOs) and shell scripts for *nix based systems (such as Unix or Linux). Available through CIS SecureSuite Membership, Build Kits provide another vector to distribute secure configurations though either the group policy management console within Microsoft Windows or via a shell within the *nix environments.

Moving towards confirmed compliance

CIS Build Kits can implement secure configuration settings in just a few minutes; however, there is one caveat. Not all recommendations from a particular CIS Benchmark can be deployed in this manner. For example, EMET recommendations are not included within the Microsoft Windows Build Kits, because it is an external download from Microsoft. Where the CIS Benchmarks provide recommendations and CIS-CAT Pro assesses for compliance, Build Kits provide the "glue" of assurance by implementing configurations.

To get started, organizations should first establish a benchmark requirement. Secure configuration requirements should be documented as part of the operational security standard. Next, deploy secure configurations—this can be a manual process, or it can be automated with Build Kits. Third, establish continued monitoring. Be sure to define how often you're going to review and assess configurations. The decision could be based on resources, but in most cases a recommended approach is to tier systems-based criticality and risk. Tiering systems based on this categorization will define what should be scanned more often and those third- or fourth-tier systems that can be scanned less often.

For example, let's say Company A deploys CIS-CAT Pro to scan monthly on their critical infrastructure. CIS-CAT Pro will confirm compliance or may discover a configuration that is outside of the Benchmark recommendations. Company A has two options:

- 1 Approve the change based on organizational needs and document a known deviation from the delivered Benchmark. As long as exceptions are documented, approved, and also referred to in the compliance check, Company A is still compliant.
- **2** Recognize that an unauthorized change has occurred and correct the configuration either manually or with a CIS Build Kit.

Learn more and download a sample CIS Build Kit



Tips to Keep Your Employees Interested in Cybersecurity Awareness Training

As organizations work to make internal company processes and personnel more secure it's worth asking, "Are we doing enough?" Rehashing an annual awareness training or a yearly email phishing campaign may not be enough to thwart ever-evolving attacks and nefarious activity.

Get interactive!

To combat "training fatigue," which can lead to users not practicing what is preached as best controls, it makes sense to implement more interactive methods of cybersecurity policy awareness and training. These come in many forms:

- Phishing campaigns. Conducted by an internal "red team," internal phishing campaigns can train employees to spot and report suspicious emails they may receive.
- **Desktop/tabletop exercises.** These cybersecurity exercises help employees learn how they would handle an incident such as a DDoS attack or website defacement.
- USB drops. Are your employees trained to handle a mysteriously-found USB device? Find out with these exercises.

Be sure that these training methods aren't simply tested and then forgotten. Cybersecurity awareness comprises continual processes of integrating behavioral change into the business process. While technical controls can significantly improve security posture—implementing SPF, DKIM, or DMARC to reduce the risk of a successful phishing campaign, for example—it is important that the technical controls are not the only assessment performed against your organization. In addition to conducting training and awareness programs, managers should invest in understanding the analytics resulting from these programs.

Improving privacy and awareness

It's essential that organizations implement security in the form of role-based access controls (RBAC). Privacy, a key component of GDPR in particular, has become a highlighted requirement for organizations, especially those who manage and safeguard personally identifiable information (PII). Each industry (health care, finance, academia, etc.) maintains data that requires a form of protection. As this data becomes more integrated across business units and functions, knowing what types of data you're managing will allow specific training programs to be built.

Often, awareness training requires multiple approaches. For example, you might conduct a phishing exercise against a particular department or utilize a multi-email phishing approach for the whole organization. This can allow the organization to more authentically gauge clicks, versus the exercise-defeating murmurs of "Hey, don't click that!" which can spread through an office quickly. You'll also want to consider different learning styles. For some, a PowerPoint may be enough; others might require a more hands-on approach to security training. A strong training program is made up of multiple approaches that cover a variety of training techniques and learning styles.

CIS Control 17: Implement a Security Awareness and Training Program



Additional Information

Resources

A list of all of the resources mentioned in this guide.

- CIS Benchmarks: https://www.cisecurity.org/cis-benchmarks/
- CIS Controls: https://www.cisecurity.org/controls/
- CIS Hardened Images: https://www.cisecurity.org/services/hardened-images/
- CIS SecureSuite Membership: https://www.cisecurity.org/cis-securesuite/
- CIS-CAT Lite: https://learn.cisecurity.org/cis-cat-landing-page
- Sample CIS Build Kit: https://learn.cisecurity.org/remediation-kits
- Webinar: CIS-CAT Pro Demo: https://www.cisecurity.org/cis-benchmarks/cisbenchmarks-webinar/
- Webinar: Where Privacy Meets Security: https://www.cisecurity.org/webinar/ where-privacy-meets-security/
- White Paper: Are You GDPR Ready? https://learn.cisecurity.org/gdpr

About the Author

Sean Atkinson is Chief Information Security Officer of the Center for Internet Security, Inc. (CIS®). He uses his broad cybersecurity expertise to direct strategy, operations, and policy to protect CIS' enterprise of information assets. His job responsibilities include risk management, communications, applications, and infrastructure. Prior to CIS, Sean served as the Global Information Security Compliance Officer for GLOBALFOUNDRIES, serving Governance, Risk and Compliance (GRC) across the globe.

Prior to GLOBALFOUNDRIES, Sean led the security implementation for the New York State Statewide Financial System (SFS) implementation from 2007 to 2014 and his last role and responsibility was as the Internal Control, Risk, and Information Security Manager.

Sean was born in Brooklyn, N.Y. and lived in England for 18 years, graduating from Sheffield Hallam University in 2000. After moving back to the United States, Sean has pursued multiple degrees and certifications in the IT arena.

In addition to his work with CIS, Sean is also an adjunct professor of Computer Science at the College of Saint Rose.



About CIS

CIS is a forward-thinking, non-profit entity that harnesses the power of a global IT community to safeguard private and public organizations against cyber threats.

The CIS Controls and CIS Benchmarks are the global standard and recognized best practices for securing IT systems and data against the most pervasive attacks. These proven guidelines are continuously refined and verified by a volunteer, global community of experienced IT professionals. Our CIS Hardened Images are virtual machine emulations preconfigured to provide secure, on-demand, and scalable computing environments in the cloud.

CIS is home to both the Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center[®] (MS-ISAC[®]), the go-to resource for cyber threat prevention, protection, response, and recovery for U.S. State, Local, Tribal, and Territorial government entities, and the Elections Infrastructure Information Sharing and Analysis Center[®] (EI-ISAC[®]), which supports the cybersecurity needs of U.S. State, Local and Territorial elections offices.

Contact Information

Center for Internet Security, Inc. 31 Tech Valley Drive East Greenbush, NY 12061 518-266-3460 learn@cisecurity.org